~~Watkins John~~ Parlik, Charlene
~~Westview~~ KB vs Ione DH
Room: 506 Flight A: Start 8am
PF -- Rd 1
Glencoe

chwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: Opp - DH Prop - Westview KB

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code KB Opposition Code Ione DH

First Prop. Nimisha Kapur Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 **(25)** 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	First Opp. ~~Daniel~~ Joe Doherty Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 **(26)** 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Sai Bandi Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 **(29)** 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Daniel Holtz Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 **(28)** 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Ione DH (Team Code) representing Opp (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Chel Parlik Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: Tax reform

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Thank you all for your sincere arguments. I enjoyed seeing this topic from both sides of the issue.

Nimisha - Your main point seemed to be that millionaire's want to give more money. That was not persuasive to me. If they want to - why don't they just do it?

Sai - You have a very professional speaking manner. I would just like to remind you that not all jobs are teaching jobs. So, taxing big companies could cause other job losses, which would ultimately possibly bring in less taxes for education.

Joe - I appreciated your comments regarding foreign investments + our economic competitiveness. I appreciated you citing sources as well.

Daniel - The best point, IMO, made was when you said "In the history of tax increases..." anyway, tax increases have not historically boosted economy. That was something about how persuasive to me.

Ultimately, I voted for the opposition because they were more persuasive that we need a healthy economy to thrive and grow. Even though I am a school district employee, it takes a lot of other people / jobs ~~to~~ also paying taxes for schools to have money. I believe the opposition was correct that local businesses are instrumental in creating community - helping socially and financially in their local schools.

Aff - yes, more taxes would be great. We do need a strong military. I didn't feel you persuaded me that "Sin taxes" and millionaires excited to donate are the solution.

Oh Susan

Ione BP vs Glencoe LH
Room: 504 Flight A: Start 8am
PF -- Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Glencoe Opposition Code Ione BP

First Prop. Tasha Lane Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Tanner Bass Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Olivia Hering Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. TJ Patton Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Glencoe (Team Code) representing Prop (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Westview

Topic: U.S should prioritize tax increases vs tax cuts.

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1st U.S. prioritize tax increase paying forward.
① ensure equality of life
② build long term economy. more money stays in economy
③ history shows it works cuts did not reduce budget

Good stance and voice volume, shows confidence, good preparation

2nd Good to provide roadmap
① fix national fiscal issues before attracting foreign investment
② lowest tax rates internationally.
③ spending cuts

1st
① money needs to attract foreign investment competitive in international market. pro-growth policy
② affecting international trade
③ competitive global / tax cuts / balance

Good stance and voice volume, Be prepared to answer questions even if you did not think of these ahead of time, think on your feet.

2nd
← 2 tax cuts not long term beneficial
← tax cuts discourage spending

(To Be 14" in Length)

Affirmation

- need to pay for our own services.
- history ie Clinton Era

Good logical argument / good voice
Speaks with great conviction

2nd speaker

Good questioning of the 2nd negation

1st speaker

prioritize tax increase, not tax cuts.
⇒ stabilizes the economy.

- good critique of the negation team
- good analysis of the debate.

Negation

fiscal cliff

tax cut does not always increase deficit

- Speak your points with conviction.
- good speeches or statistics.
- face forward toward the judge when speaking

2nd speaker

think on your feet, even if you cannot directly answer the questions thrown out. try to relate the questions to points in your argument

1st speaker

re-emphasizes point #2
unbalance of tax increase — tax cuts
good explanation of above

2nd speaker

good points
- negation did not stay with their case argument
- negation did not relate facts to contentions
- negation does not address prioritization
- negation does not address affirm #1 point

2nd speaker

Don't say "I have no idea how to do this"

- spend some time re-emphasizing your points

Oh Susan

Ridgefield H.S. TP vs Sunset PL
Room: 504 Flight B: Start
PF -- Rd 1

chwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Ridgefield TP Opposition Code Sunset PL

First Prop. Zachary Peace Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 **(28)** 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Gautam Paranjape Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 **(28)** 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Robert K Tissot Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 **(29)** 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Jared Lin Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 **(27)** 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Ridgefield (Team Code) representing Proposition (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Susan Oh Judge's School Westview

Topic: U.S. should prioritize tax increases over tax cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1st speaker Good opening
1. tax increase better than tax cuts
— good evidence & stastics
2. sperding cut puts a drag on economy
3. ecoromy is key.

Good voice and stance, reasoning solid

Good questioning, asking for specifics on Social security

1st speaker
tax increase vs tax cuts
1. government program syphon off too much federal money.
2. tax increases hurt economy.
b. money change hands GDP ↑ forces companies to move out
3. tax increase makes little extra revenue counters incentive to make money.

Good questioning on private contracting

Good voice and stance, reasoning solid

(To Be 14" in Length)

2nd rebutting negation — Affirmative

1. cutting wasteful program
   - improves the lower class, those in poverty
2. cutting social security
   - constitution, but prioritize tax increases
3. tax increases on wealthy,
   - increase capital gains tax

Neg lacked of evidence of effect on GDP

Good voice / stance / confident / shows knowledge of subject matter

cross-fire...

questioning if how the theory holds up to historical examples

1st Speaker

slip of tongue... YIKES!

- good critique of negation's case
- + presentation of affirmative case

2nd

prioritize tax increases, historical examples were powerful proofs.

2nd — negation

1. tax increases don't always increase revenue
   - stand still, shifting weight from right to left leg was a little distracting.

revenue maximizing point

raising tax - people save - reduce flow of money into economy

tax increases cause unemployment

spending cuts, not tax increases hurt the economy

spending cuts, cuts government worker employment

1st speaker

- emphasizes pt. #3
- good analysis of affirmative points

aggregate demand decreases because of tax increases

2nd Good final analysis

creating growth vs increasing revenue

tax increases corollates rather than causing expansion after WW II.

Bhatt, Santosh

Ridgefield QH vs Sunset LP
Room: 111 Flight A: Start 8 am
Novice Public Forum -- Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 111 Time 8:15 Am Judge Santosh Bhatt

TEAMS: Ridgefield vs Sunset

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code _____ Opposition Code _____

First Prop. Sunset LP Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Ridgefield QH Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Sunset LP Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Ridgefield Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Ridgefield. (Team Code) representing Opp (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature SBhatt Judge's School West View

Topic: Privatizing Spending

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Summary: 2 min
Opp Summary: 2 min
Grand Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp Final Focus: 2 min
PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

- Ridgefield team defended their point with much better set of data.
- Sunset LP team needs to stick to their point and defend their point with confidence.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Bisbee Kennetl

Glencoe PM vs Sunset KK
Room: 505 Flight A: Start 8am
PF -- Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge K. Bisbee

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Sunset KK Opposition Code Glencoe PM

First Prop. Sameer Kapur Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 **(27)** 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Levi Berghold Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 **(28)** 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Ishan Karnik Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 **(26)** 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Jacob Pavlik Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 **(29)** 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Glencoe PM (Team Code) representing Opp (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature K. Bisbee Judge's School Ridgefield

Topic: _____

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Sameer - watch the speed of delivery. This event is intended to be judged by the lay judge.

Ishan - don't ask "Wouldn't you agree" questions. The answer is always "NO".

Your use of prep time allowed your opponents to prep on your time.

Levi - examples are a real plus in PuFo. Good questioning during CF.

Jacob - Great eye contact. Gives you a sense of honesty + sincerity

RFD - I found that the negation set out a case full of examples and reasoning that the affirmation could not take down. Neg's case.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Meyer Ryden

Ridgefield AT vs Sunset CC
Room: 113 Flight A: Start 8 am
Novice Public Forum -- Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 113 Time 8:20 Judge Ryden Wheyer

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Sunset CC Opposition Code Ridgefield AT

First Prop. ~~Dominique~~ Ayush Chaudhury	First Opp. Taylor, Jackson
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Gavin Cai	Second Opp. Jacob Anderson
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset CC (Team Code) representing AFF (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Barlow

Topic: Tax increase over budget reduction

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Summary: 2 min
Opp Summary: 2 min
Grand Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp Final Focus: 2 min
PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are [redacted] encouraged.

oh cool thanks :)

The reason I vote Aff is due to the lack of offensive arguments by the Neg. Aff showed me that the only way to help people in the longrun is tax increases.

Keep working hard! You guys will be good.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Raible Jim

Westview CP vs Sunset KL
Room: 503 Flight B: Start
PF -- Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 503 Time 9:10 Judge Jim Raible

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Sunset KL Opposition Code Westview CP

First Prop. Manoet Khaira Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 **28** 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Rushil Patel Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 **27** 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Timothy Ly Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 **26** 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Cheng Chen Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 **25** 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset KL (Team Code) representing PROP (Prop) or Opp). Check if Low Point win ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Tone

Topic: Tax increases v. spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

- Half way through the round, it seems like PROP has the upper hand based on second contention (aggragate demand) because OPP has not adequately refuted yet. Let's see who can close the deal
- Economists versus public opinion is also a large part of this debate. The purest economic theory is worthless if the public revolts. On the other hand, public opinion is worthless if it drives the economy into the ground. I'm waiting to see who can close the deal to
- ~~First~~ PROP speaker brought up "pragmatism." Getting warmer.

RFD

- PROP's evidence and impacts were a little stronger in the long run. OPP had some opportunities... for example, you could've used you fraud/waste/abuse evidence to show that a real clean up of the govt would win public favor, and you could claim public support like PROP did.

Bhatt, Santosh

Sam Barlow TV vs Sunset LC
Room: 111 Flight B: Start
Novice Public Forum -- Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code_____ Opposition Code_____

First Prop. _____ Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	First Opp. _____ Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. _____ Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. _____ Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by _____ (Team Code) representing _____ (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature JBhatt Judge's School _____

Topic: _____

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Sam Barlow — no show

Sunset Lc — win

(To Be 14" in Length)

Sisbee Kennett

Westview CO vs Cleveland CS
Room: S05 Flight B: Start
PF – Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Westview CO Opposition Code Cleveland CS

First Prop. Stephen Oh
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior Needs Improvement*

First Opp. Isabel Coen
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior Needs Improvement*

Second Prop. Sophia Chen
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20
Superior Needs Improvement*

Second Opp. Hannah Shilling
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Cleveland CS (Team Code) representing Opp (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point Win ☐

Judge's Signature K. Busbee Judge's School Ridgefield

Topic: _____

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Stephen - Be sure that your case can tie spending cuts into job losses. This is essential in your case to win this debate.

Sophia - Make sure you stay on the case your opponent has set up.

Isobel - I was impressed with your knowledge that showed during CF - especially of education funding.

Hannah - Nice summation of both cases. You found the weakness of your opponent's case.

RFD - The negation owned the Grand Crossfire. It is extremely difficult to recover from that. Negation's case felt as if it was based on a common-sense approach. Aff didn't convince me that their solution would solve the situation as well as neg's.

Raible Jim

Glencoe HC vs Westview AA
Room: 503 Flight A: Start 8am
PF -- Rd 1

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 503 Time 8:20 Judge Jim Raible

TEAMS:

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Westview AA Opposition Code Glencoe HC

First Prop. Vineet Apte Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Hampus Hammarlund Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Shyan Akmal Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Lorena Colter Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview AA (Team Code) representing PROP (~~Prop~~ or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature [signature] Judge's School Lone

Topic: Tax increase v. spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Summary: 2 min
Opp Summary: 2 min
Grand Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp Final Focus: 2 min
PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

- Opp's position that they get to claim a balanced approach is perfectly justified. They must "negate" the resolution, not take the "opposite" side.
- 2nd PROP speaker did a very nice job of finding the nuances of this debate. Both sides agree both means are necessary, but you showed good evidence for tax increases getting priority.
- OPP: With your "balanced" approach, you focused on PROP's tax increase priority. Remember, by definition if they prioritize one, they de facto de-prioritize the other. You should have focused more on the problem with de-emphasizing spending cuts (harms).
- Private sector cannot just plug into openings from public sector. PROP was closer to an accurate analysis of this issues.
- Dropped corruption contention hurt OPP. Real gain > potential threat – agree

(To Be 14" in Length)