Nguyen, Jimmy

Lincoln Y vs Sunset Z
Room: 102 Flight A: Start 8 am
Novice LD -- Rd 1

...ab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 102 Time _____ Judge Jimmy Nguyen

Aff. Team Code	Neg. Team Code
Lincoln Y	Sunset Z
Name _____	Name _____
Circle Rating:	Circle Rating:
30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by ~~Aff~~ Lincoln Y (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Jimmy Nguyen Judge's School Westview

Topic: _____

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed.

~~Affirmation~~ did a pretty good job in arguing while negation sort of failed to refute some parts of contention, but failed to logically elaborate her arguments and only just read stuff from her facts/statistics for her reasons.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Quality ~~of life~~
Preservation of life
- moral obligated

1. less bills
   * - less bankruptcy

2. more people are insured
   - psychological problem

3. more lives saved

Why are human lives so valuable?!
good questions, not strong enough.

Causation =/= correlation
- logical fallacy

CX:
Ney did pretty well

Ney:
4. hurts economy
   - Private sector is better
   - Competition in the market

3rd - poverty is more appealing
   - decrease incentive

Refuted his contention
She defended pretty well
looks clueless



Charles Alliso → Elsie Praeger-Goller
Westview Bao vs Glencoe J
Room: 202 Flight A: Start 8am
LD -- Rd 1

wab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 202 Time 8:24 Judge Elsie Praeger-Goller

Aff. Team Code Westview BA	Neg. Team Code Glencoe J
Name James Bao	Name Donte Johnson
Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 (22) 21 20
Superior … Needs Improvement*	Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview BA (Team Code) representing AFF (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Elsie Praeger-Goller Judge's School unaffiliated

Topic: Res: US ought to garentee univesal healthcare for its citizens

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN. speaking style here, Flow on back

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

AFF

- Nice to stand while speaking...
- Good eye contact
- enunciate words
- Great organization in speaking - easy to follow
- Slow down a touch
- Beautiful use of CX!
- Clear Road map and excellent job sticking to it

NEG

- write down questions to not stumble
- interesting openning question
- You start every question "Okay so... umm..."
- Good Road map
- When going over his points refute them during that
- Good sources!!
- Do not say sorry when mess up... it highlights mistake
- Do NOT roll eyes at questions!!
- label points — Tag lines
- use words carefully law and theory is different

Both: Tell me why your case matters to me! many claims little impacts!!

NEG: Pay attention during aff voters packing up is rude...

(To Be 14" in Length)

RFD: AFF had clearly refutted all neg case

6 3 7 3 4 6 3

US territory gov. by ~~AFF~~
USFG
ought - moral obil.
garence - promise/will happen
universal healthcare - healthcare
citizen - legal "
gov - influenced

V: citizen welfare
C: Util.

Road map (NEG)
opp case then on case
No opp refutation really..

Same VBC

~~People use more~~ - service ~~not product~~ related
cost ↔ disease
doesn't help problem of cost?
- must ~~cut~~ pay checks of doc
less tech. in healthcare
↳ happens in UK $medicade

① Poverty

Reform ⇒ private companies
↳ overspend
world not fair

Costs
↳ no comments

AT VOTERS
Cost vs. Benefits
1. same values $ criteria
- Best upholds

② Gov = Qual of life
• GDP • 185 bill. each year in workers health
- preventive care
Greatest good
EURO system → bad example
bad economics there?
unneeded care

NEG VOTERS
1 society will not benefit
2. Give edu $ $ instead
↳ How???

③ Good as weakest link

why not utilities and jobs.

Prep
~~37~~ ~~seest~~
5 USed

DO NOT CALL
POOR → Lowest of lowest

~~Quarles Alliso~~ → Elsie Praeger Goller - unaffiliated

Westview Gop vs Westview Som
Room: 202 Flight B: Start
LD -- Rd 1

wab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 202 Time 9807 Judge Elsie Praeger-Goller

Aff. Team Code Westview Gop	Neg. Team Code Westview Som
Name Varm Gopinatth	Name Rama Somayajula
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 **24** 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 **28** 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior / Needs Improvement*	Superior / Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview Som (Team Code) representing NEG (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Elsie Praeger-Goller Judge's School unaffiliated

Topic: Universal Health Care

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

AFF

- Thank you for saying Thank you ☺
- You don't need to say dictionary you got definition from... (!)
- excellent follow through value $ criteria
- Neg asks ?s in first cx, not yay
- Neg doesn't always need source to be true for basic logic → people don't like to lose $
- yay! Road map!
- careful w/ jargon.
- little rude in voters... respect opponets case while refuting

NEG

- Beautiful use of CX! Both times!
- criteria very biased
- Road maps = (!)
- Great constructive speech truly impressive.
- Finish speech strong
- # your voters and add tag lines
- voters were handled well

Prep ~~1:30~~ 1:47 used | Prep ~~1:40~~ used

(To Be 14" in Length)

RFD: AFF had stronger case on my flow NEG refuted every point, AFF missed 2

Lacy Matthew Rangel

Sunset D vs Westview Bea
Room: 201 Flight B: Start
LD -- Rd 1

ıb Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 201 Time 9:00 Judge Heidi Rangel

Aff. Team Code Sunset D	Neg. Team Code Westview Bea
Name Jason	Name Kathryn
Circle Rating:	Circle Rating:
30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview Bea (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Heidi Rangel Judge's School Sam Barlow

Topic: Universal HC

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Aff

- Cost is irrelevant to the moral question you posit the resolution poses?
  - This does not seem to suit a utilitarian criterion ~~as~~ or at least creates a large opportunity for neg to prove less accessibility through greater costs of care, lessening the number of those who can even attain care, and makes way for the neg to appeal to the lower socio-economic class (an important demographic to consider in the question of adequate HC systems)
- Argument that competition between insurance companies lessens the quality of their services lacks real analysis or evidentiary substance, otherwise the argument has powerful potential in the round.
- By the end of the round, you basically talked your way into conceding the neg's value and had suffered some decent refutations to your criterion.

Neg

- You make a very generic claim that utilitarianism as a criterion does not address minorities and favors rich white men.
  - this is gradually substantiated throughout the round, but piece together a justification coming out of the INC
- Calling into question the accountability of cost projections in the wake of a newly employed system is interesting, ~~Bess~~ might hurt your own arguments regarding cost, arguing the greater cost of Universal Healthcare could be a stronger turn to the Aff.
- Waiting lines argument is a bit loose and weak.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Endsley Ryan

Sam Barlow L vs Sunset Pu
Room: 104 Flight B: Start
Novice LD -- Rd 1

Nab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 104 Time 9:05 Judge Ryan Endsley

Aff. Team Code Barlow L	Neg. Team Code Sunset Pu
Name Brad Loomis	Name Ryan Pu
Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Barlow L (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Ryan Endsley Judge's School Barlow (sorta)

Topic: UHC

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Aff

Nice opening I want a criterion though. Objectivism works.

affirmationism

Good all-round performance. Work on less "ums" fillers words, etc.

Neg
1 min used

If you don't have a major difference in definitions, I'd skip em.

I agree with your case but never say why they shouldn't provide, just that they aren't obligated.

Try not to have long pauses

RFD: Neg doesn't get into should/shouldn't. I find aff's value of equity more important

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Lacey Matthew~~ Rangel

Westview Chou vs Cleveland G
Room: 201 Flight A: Start 8am
LD -- Rd 1

ab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 201 Time 8:00 Judge Heidi Rangel

Aff. Team Code Westview	Neg. Team Code Cleveland G
Name Chou	Name Griffin
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Cleveland 6 (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Heidi Rangel Judge's School Sam Barlow

Topic: Universal Healthcare

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Aff

- Refutation to neg's tax burden argument was almost a catalyst to his case, and was utilized as such in his rebuttal.
  - watch out for arguments that present congruency like that, similar phenomenon occured in other places on the flow.
- You continue to cite statistics regarding the atrocious numbers of citizens denied care in the squo as a general call for universal HC, without addressing the negative ramifications of such a system as pointed out by the negative → (EV) Doctor's wages ↓ 25% in Uni. HC system (directly clashing w/ Aff cont.) = Doctor's lose incentive to enroll in pricey medical institutions. quality ↓ + costs ↑ (health care + medical treatment) (directly undermining Aff v + c)
- No direct addressing of neg's posited superior alternative

Neg

- Well structured neg case and initial rebuttal
  - would like to see some clearer analysis of why the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act is more adequately equipped to address issues of the squo regarding HC costs, quality, accessibility.
    - ↳ bolster your offense

(To Be 14" in Length)

Endsley Ryan

Clackamas D vs Sunset Pa
Room: 104 Flight A: Start 8 am
Novice LD -- Rd 1

vab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 104 Time 8:25 Judge Ryan Endsley

Aff. Team Code Clackamas D	Neg. Team Code Sunset Pa
Name Jake Doberenz	Name Gyatri Paranjape
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset Pa (Team Code) representing Neg Sunset Pa (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Barlow Sorta

Topic: Universal Health Care

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Aff prep: ~~0~~ 1:30
Sources for definitions might be helpful.
C2 is sort of tangential to your value.
I'd recommend an explicitly labeled criterion.
Need a tougher stance on capitalism good/bad.
Don't use prep before CX. You only have 3 min.
Decent Value debate
Give roadmaps/outline

Neg Prep: 1 min used
strong CX
For the record, rights are guarantees between government & citizens. Not citizen to citizen.
Government obligation to life, liberty, happiness, etc
Good speed
Obamacare IS Universal Health Care. Don't use it as an argument
Use all speech time!!

To both, Obamacare is Universal coverage. We're not arguing about status quo here but about what OUGHT to happen

RFD: Not given a criterion by Aff to evaluate Value. Aff doesn't respond to case attacks until last speech.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Bilakanti, Jaya

Rex Putnam N vs Sam Barlow B
Room: 103 Flight A: Start 8 am
Novice LD -- Rd 1

ab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 1 Room 103 Time _____ Judge Jaya Bilakanti

Aff. Team Code: Rex Putnam N	Neg. Team Code: Sam Barlow B
Name: Emma Newman	Name: Hailey Bressel
Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Emma N (Team Code) representing AFF (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School _____

Topic: United States ought to Guarantee Universal Health Care

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Emma
Positive:
① Good argument about declaration of independence
② provide everyone safe opportunity to be healthy
③ Clear speaking voice, very organized speech, respectful
④ universal health care would be in addition to private sector funding.
⑤ Easy to understand your point of view and supporting arguments

Improvement: Give an example about how this additional program would increase competition for better health care for all

Hailey
Positive:
① Good arguments about how quality of health would be diminished with Universal Healthcare.
② Good points about anyone getting healthcare when needed
③ respectful, good summary of opponent's arguments

Improvement: speak more slowly and organize your speech, give examples about how the quality of health care would be diminished.
- seemed a little nervous.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Nguyen, Jimmy

Rex Putnam S vs Sunset S
Room: 102 Flight B Start 8 am
Novice LD -- Rd 1

vab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge Jimmy Nguyen

Aff. Team Code Putnam S	Neg. Team Code Sunset S
Name _____	Name No Show
Circle Rating:	Circle Rating:
30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by ~~[redacted]~~ Rex Putnam (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Jimmy Nguyen Judge's School _____

Topic: _____

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

No Show on Neg

(To Be 14" in Length)