Thank you! Good Debate!

McLain Susan

Clackamas PR vs South RK
Room: 410 Start: 10am
Open CX -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style

Round 2 Room 410 Time _____ Judge _____

Affirmative Code Clackamas PR Negative Code South RK

First Aff. Allen Roush — Get hair out of your eyes!	First Neg. Raina Kamcat
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26-) 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Stand up for CX — Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Alexander Parini — #1 Best	Second Neg. Kate Richardson
(++) Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Aff (Team Code) representing C.R. CLACKAMAS (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Susan McLain Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: Mass - Transit Aff

Aff. Case — Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min — Neg Case

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN. Arguments

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1)

Aff has a Prima Facia Case — Mass Transit Solvency — brings Advantages to Health, (Air) Land Use, and less Oil dependency. (Plan = Fed. Gov. must start the Investment)

2) Neg. — Solvency — Mass Transit fails 1 States Counter Plan
D.A. Growth Bad

Aff. Clash better — 1) Wins Solvency Block I 2) Wins States Counter Plan + Perm | F.G. better Framework
3) D.A. — Growth — good ↑ Evidence works for Aff.

Neg. Your "Growth Bad" — does not work against a Mass Transit Comparative Advantage over St. Quo. (Not unique as Aff. says)
Threshold | Impacts — goes Aff.

Poverty — Mass Transit helps the poor get to job!

Like the impact

(To Be 14" in Length)

Johnson Patric

Glencoe IP vs Sam Barlow CT
Room: 502 Start: 10am
Open CX -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

Affirmative Code Glencoe IP Negative Code Barlow CT

First Aff. Haley Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 (23) 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	First Neg. Ben Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 (23) 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Karissa Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 (23) 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	Second Neg. Katie Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 (23) 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Aff (Team Code) representing Glencoe IP (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Westview

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

2A - tagline! your clash tends to ~~weader~~ meander through Neg's case

Neg. case loosely clashed w/ Aff. contentions - if you're going to read canned DA's + CP's, you need to invest time being explicit (extemporaneously) how warrants + impacts clash w/ Aff

Neg runs Spending DA ~~that~~ infrastructure spending bad, ~~then~~ goes on to ~~say~~ give states DA + CP saying states responsible + should be spending on infrastructure — these clash w/in own Neg. case!

I don't buy Russia DA - weak warrants (plus I never like Nuc. impacts ... figuratively or literally ;)

(To Be 14" in Length)

Endsley, Ryan

Westview MZ vs Sam Barlow TG
Room: 409 Start: 10am
Open CX -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style (

Round 2 Room 409 Time 10:32 Judge Ryan Endsley

Affirmative Code		Negative Code	
First Aff. Anthony	Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Neg. Sydney Turner	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Abhijit	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Neg. Maggie	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by ~~Aff~~ Westview MZ (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Ryan E Judge's School Barlowish

Topic: structural improvements

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Aff ~~1:40~~ used All

1AC: All doesn't need to be as long. ~~[illegible]~~ Hey is simple, thats its only advantage.

Use the CX as prep time. Burn it all out.

2AC. Waay too much time on this hey stuff. Not sure how having federal bureaucracy AND state bureaucracy is a good solution.

1AR. You dropped states with a minute left to speak. I think thats the most important part of the debate so not good.

Neg ~~[illegible]~~ 1:05

1NC Your airpower DA is bad. Air power means military not civilian ~~[illegible]~~ Use ALL TIME!!! Read on case attacks & T shell, anything. Never concede your CP bites the DA

2NC. Need new cards, new args. Don't just restate your points. 8 minutes is a ton of time. Read cards!! Know your roadmap.

Nice save on the ~~[illegible]~~ state/federal funding

1NR Sydney, Need to split block coverage.

2NR don't go for everything. You dropped the argument you were winning

Aff outweighs. Neg wins if they just EXTEND the DROPPED CP!

(To Be 14" in Length)

Miller, Jason

Sam Barlow GM vs South ZB
Room: 408 Start: 10am
Open CX -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

Affirmative Code Sam Barlow GM Negative Code South ZB

First Aff. Keenan	First Neg. Athena
Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Cameron	**Second Neg.** Daisy
Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Neg South ZB (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Glencoe.

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1A – There's some anomalies in your case from inherency to plan to impacts.

1N – Time management needs improvement; the (thankfully or (P)) took up way too much of your time.
I totally applaud your getting right in to attacking the aff ground (even if I think the claims are bizarre – the aff needs to be able to defend against the bizarre!)

2A – If you'd listens to the neg portion of my paradigm, you'd skip most of your (T) response.
The Global Warming response is weak – ~~aff~~ neg only talked direct temperature, missed FLOODING in places like San Francisco, Rio, Venice, etc.
↳ You should be able to paint this picture for me instead of just claiming that Everybody Dies

2N – "The other team has FAILED TO AFFIRM the resolution." ← that's why (T) matters, not in-round butthurt.
The attack on perpetual stimulus is a solid argument given power/human nature tendencies.

1NR – the Free Market could be segmented with ev on govt/corporate intertwingling is a violation of Free Market principles. You got to it at the end of the last card, but it could provide critical distance from current econ woes that judges are opposed to.
→ If Global Warming makes shipping more efficient, then won't reduced emissions undo GW goodness?

1AR → When saying that Military Industrial Complex spending is econ interference – it is – none = company. Boeing. Lockheed-Martin. Halliburton.
Show us a clear example that the real world (instead of strange Orwellianism Unreality) works like you say it does
How many of your impacts are The Biggest Impacts?

2NR → Again, I don't care about fairness. I care about "is there an affirmative position in the room?"
They can advocate For Komodo Dragon conservation, which I love, but they Fail To Represent the Affirmative Position so I can't write that they do.

2AR → Hoover did Foreign Policy well – he just sucked at domestic policy.
→ Again, that. Spend more time highlighting Climate Change is Fatal ev.

— AFF —

inherency
solvency "not enough projects in TIPIA pool" seems to Directly Contradict the Bank idea.
"projects selected by the banks independent." ← disconnects your advantages from your plan.
This should be FATAL; it kills all your links.

(To Be 14" in Length)

RFD: Plan text does not make it clear that investment is actually increasing.
I see a change of mode (word "by") but not an amount, so I'm going w/ Neg on this stock issue point.

Mills, Greg

Westview LL vs Sam Barlow VF
Room: 407 Start: 10am
Open CX -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style C

Round 2 Room 407 Time 10:30 Judge _____

Affirmative Code _____ Negative Code _____

First Aff. Emily Liu	First Neg. Jake Vigues
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 (24) 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Jordan Lei	Second Neg. George Ford
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 (24) 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by BVF (Team Code) representing Negative (Aff or (Neg).) Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Mills Judge's School U of O

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min ~~4:08~~ 4:30 ~~40~~

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN. 1:25

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1A - good speech. clear. Read less inherency and more advantage cards

2N - good job explaining the CP. Great road mapping.

2AR - you only need to talk about what the 2NR went for. Don't waste time explaining arguments the negative conceded.

Topicality - RFD -

Interpretations are basically the same but affirmative does not specifically address the violation, or bring their own standards into the debate. Should have "canned" topicality responses. I believe, ultimately that the interpretations of what makes territories part of the us. was very unclear from both sides! Territories can't vote, so how are they really apart of the USFG which the AFF represents?

Therefore I vote Neg.

(To Be 14" in Length)