Steiner Don

Ione DH vs Westview AA
Room: 503 Flight B: Start
Open PF -- Rd 2

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 2 Room 503 Time 10:00 Judge Steiner

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Westview AA Opposition Code Ione DH

First Prop. Vineet Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Joe Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 25 (24) 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Dhyan Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Daniel Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20

The debate was won by Westview AA (Team Code) representing Prop. (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win ☐

Judge's Signature Don Steiner Judge's School Wilson

Topic: Tax ↑ > Spend ↓

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Summary: 2 min
Opp Summary: 2 min
Grand Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp Final Focus: 2 min
PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Within the context of a comparative analysis, you have offered two competing visions of how to base the comparison. Off takes a domestic focus while Neg. raises issues of international capital.

The off has a well supported case with both logic and statistics as well as an effective link between values and actions. The neg. will need to show their global arguments outweigh the domestic considerations.

The off argument about the relationship between public and private job loss is very persuasive and unrefuted. In the end, I am persuaded that domestic strength is the key to global competitiveness and thus more important to protect.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Taylor, Steven

Westview CP vs Sunset KK
Room: 505 Flight A: Start 10am
Open PF -- Rd 2

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code WESTVIEW CP (2ND) Opposition Code SUNSET (1ST)

First Prop. CHANG	First Opp. SAMEER
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)
Second Prop. RUSHIL	Second Opp. ISHAN
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by SUNSET KK (Team Code) representing OPP (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature SHJ-TR Judge's School CLACKAMAS

Topic: PRIORITIZE TAX ↑ OVER SPENDING CUTS

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN. (NEG) (AFF)

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

SAMEER – WORK ON EYE CONTACT DURING PREPARED SPEECH
- WATCH NON-VERBALS (ROCKING
- TELL ME WHY WE ARE DEBATING THIS. WHAT IS THE HARM BOTH SIDES ARE TRYING TO SOLVE IS IT THE DEFICIT, ~~OR SOMET~~ UNEMPLOYMENT OR SOMETHING ELSE?
- FOCUS ON FIRST

CHANG - GOOD EYE CONTACT DURING PREPARED SP
- TELL ME WHY WE ARE DEBATING THIS. IS IT GENERAL ECON, DEFICIT, UNEMPLOYMENT?
- ~~THE~~ GOOD JOB FLOWING
- WORK ON SIGNPOSTING TO SPECIFIC ITEMS AND PROVIDING ME W/ SPECIFIC LINES

ISHAN - NICE SIGNPOSTING
- WATCH USE OF FILLER WORDS " AH, UM, ETC"
- TRY TO WORK ON EYE CONTACT DURING SPEECH
- FOCUS DURING FINAL FOCUS

~~RUSHIL~~ - NICE JOB W/ SIGNPOSTING
- GOOD ANALYSIS ON MEDICARE AND MEDICAID
- WHY THE EMPHASIS ON MEDICARE / MEDICAID IF WE ARE TRYING TO IMPROVE ECONOMY, ↓ UNEMPLOYMENT, ETC
- AFF NEVER TOLD ME WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO SOLVE LEFT IT UP TO JUDGE AND NEG
- $42B WILL NOT CUT INTO A $1T (+) BUDGET
- DO NOT GIVE NEW ARGUMENTS DURING FINAL FOCUS

NEG: COUNTER SPENDING CUTS AFFECTING DEMAND W/ TAX ↑ RESULT LOWER EMPLOY ⇒ ↑ SPENDING ON SOCIAL PROGRAMS AND ↓ EMPLOYMENT.
WHY SHOULD GOVT BACK INDUSTRIES GIVE ME A LINK TO OVERALL ECON NOT JUST SPECIFIC SECTOR INVESTM

AFF: TELL ME WHERE IT SAYS USFG IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SCHOOLS, ROADS, SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH. THE $698 IN ALREADY CUTS IS A DROP IN BUCKET OF A $1T+ BUDGET. HOW BIG OF A TAX ↑ WOULD BE REQUIRED TO ↓ DEBT? PROPOSED 2% TOP RATE ↑ WOULD ONLY FUND CURRENT SPENDING FOR 8 DAYS.

BOTH: I NEED TO KNOW WHAT HARM YOU ARE TRYING TO SOLVE

RFD: CASE OUTWEIGHS: NEG ONLY TEAM TO GIVE ME MPX (↓ UNEMPLOYMENT, ↓ DEFICIT) WITH THE SIDE ~~THEY~~ OF CASE. SAVING $1.2T WAS BIGGEST REALISTIC FACT GIVEN BY EITHER SIDE THAT I HAD TO USE FOR BALANCING CASES. NEG ALSO GAVE ME ↑ GDP ↑ EMPLOYMENT. AFF ONLY GAVE ME SPENDING CUTS UNPOPULAR

(To Be 14" in Length)

Rangel Heidi

Glencoe PM vs Cleveland CS
Room: 406 Flight B: Start
Open PF -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Publ

Round 2 Room 406 Time _____ Judge Heidi Rangel

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Cleveland CS Opposition Code Glencoe PM

First Prop. Isabelle Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Levi Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Hannah Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Jacob Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*

1:10

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Glencoe PM (Team Code) representing Opp (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☒

Judge's Signature Heidi Rangel Judge's School Sam Barlow

Topic: Tax increases > Spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Neg effectively articulates, ~~[illegible]~~ in part through their government accountability argument, that tax increases cannot be justified when frivolous spending occurs in the squo. ~~[illegible]~~ Both teams agree that spending on vital programs is not to be compromised, but neg points out that tax increases are reactionary to overspending → the root of what must be addressed, first, in combatting the lack of health of vital programs. I could buy the precarious stance of the IMF evidence pointed out by the aff, but neg adequately creates offense in taxes leading to overspending.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Rangel Heidi

Sunset KL vs Westview LL
Room: 406 Flight A: Start 10am
Open PF -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Pu

Round 2 Room 406 Time _____ Judge Heidi Rangel

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Sunset KL Opposition Code Westview LL

First Prop. Maneet K Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Candace Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Timothy Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Janet Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*

1:00 30

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset KL (Team Code) representing Aff (Prop) (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Sam Barlow

Topic: The U.S. should prioritize tax increases over spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1A: [You cite in your summary speech that you have evidence to contradict the claim ↓ expenditures = Demad ↓ (slowing of econ), but don't expound! this evidence is key to your position in the round.]

- Don't really address the evidence presented by the negative that there is a percentage reduction in Spending necessary for a functional econ, and tax increases seem reactionary to overspending

2A: Refutation to program cuts was a solid rebuttal, but you never expand.

this debate becomes very messy

1N:

2N:
- You missed the argument intention of the Aff's 1st contention, as regarding specifically to development of S.S. rather than the general economy
  - then regurgitated your advocacy in the round
- Are you proposing spending cuts through elimination of frivolous govt. programs leads to better preserved of S.S.? -how?

(To Be 14" in Length)

Garcia Gus

Westview KB vs Ione BP
Room: 504 Flight A: Start 10am
Open PF -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Pu

Round 2 Room 504 Time _____ Judge Gus Garcia

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Westview KB Opposition Code Ione BP

First Prop. Anisha K.	First Opp. Tenn B
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 **(26)** 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 **(27)** 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)
Second Prop. Sai B.	Second Opp. TJ P.
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 **(27)** 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 **(25)** 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Aff (Team Code) representing Westview (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Augusto Garcia Judge's School Cleveland H. S.

Topic: Tax increases v. Spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Anisha]
- This is not policy; you can slow down to PK plain impacts, links, etc. – you had 30s remaining so you had time
- Specific examples of neither defense or offense would benefit your case
- Summary speeches should have analysis; you mostly restated your entire case

Tenn] - I had difficulty catching your tagline's
- "We don't do that" why?
- Both of Neg's debaters: Bring in unplanned questions to prevent needless excessive time thinking

Sai]
- You spent almost no time refuting neg. Extending arguments is not the priority of the ~~1NSA~~ rebuttal speeches
- ~~Your Final Focus was the best speech in the round~~

TJ
- Your refutation could have been more thorough; try to explain why refutes. Call contention name by Aff.
- Did you ask any questions during the first CF?

(To Be 14" in Length)

RFO: necessary ~~Continued~~ Aff was convincing in showing that fed services would necessarily be cut in neg's world. Neg's case was not looked thoroughly.

AF: Please Sprnd less time reiterating and expanding your case. I heard your case completely reiterated in your team's first, second, ~~and~~ third speeches. You both need to give more analysis and explicit refutation.

- I DO NOT NEED TO HEAR THIS ~~4~~ FOUR TIMES. YOUR VOTERS WERE THE SAME AS YOUR CONTENTIONS. I HAVE ALREADY WRITEN THEM DOWN. PLEASE ANALYSE. FOR EXAMPLE, YOUR POINT ON TIME FRAME COULD HAVE BEEN A VOTING ISSUE.

EVERY ONE: READ THIS

Good voters:

- Time Frame
- Burden of proof
- Topicality
- Lack of evidence
- No impacts
- ~~Dropping~~ Dropped contentions
- General analysis

BAD VOTERS

- Repeating 1 taglines from Constructives exact

Garcia Gus

Ridgefield H.S. TP vs Glencoe LH
Room: 504 Flight B: Start
Open PF -- Rd 2

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships:

Round 2 Room 504 Time _____ Judge Gus Garcia

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Glencoe LH Opposition Code Ridgefield

First Prop. ~~Tasha~~ Tasha L.	First Opp. Zach P.
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Olivia H.	Second Opp. Robert T.
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by ~~Neg~~ Ridgefield (Team Code) representing ~~Aff~~ Neg (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Gus Garcia Judge's School Cleveland

Topic: TAX ~~cuts increase~~ v. spending cuts.

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Neg. **Oral critiques are *not* allowed.** Aff.



(To Be 14" in Length)

See reverse

NEG

+ Good understanding and manipulation of evidence for voters & refutation

- Heavy use of studies is good, your team could have given more background / "layman" explanation. Pofo is designed to be relatable to uninformed people so make sure that this gets across

AFF

+ Your explanation for your second contention was clear. Would have liked to see this impacted more clearly ~~back~~ and brought back later in the round.

- ~~Some of~~ Most of your points were forgotten/dropped by the end of the debate
- Your ~~arg~~ refutations on how we are not in the same economy as the examples given was interesting. However because you did not ~~explain~~ ~~this~~ back this up with evidence/explanations, I was not convinced.

RFD: For the most part, AFF and NEG's cases were in direct clash. Both had valid points; however, NEG's ~~effective~~ use of historical examples and studies outweighed AFF's examples on the same issues.

NEG convinced me that ~~the~~ tax hikes could not reasonably be the main factor for bettering the U.S. economy.

~~Endsley Eric~~ Pavlik, Charlene Glencoe

Ridgefield AT vs Sunset LP
Room: 113 Flight A: Start 10 am
Novice Public Forum -- Rd 2

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Sunset LP Opposition Code Ridgefield AT

First Prop. Freddy Pan Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Jaclyn Taylor Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Aden Livy Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Jacob Anderson Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset LP (Team Code) representing Prop. (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Charlene Pavlik Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: Should we prioritize ↑ taxes over spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min | Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min | Prop Summary: 2 min | Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min | Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min | Opp Summary: 2 min | Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min | Crossfire: 3 min | Grand Crossfire: 3 min | PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

I voted for the proposition because Freddy and Aden had a clear thought process and manner of stating the points regarding a tax increase. The point that was particularly persuasive was ~~Jacob~~ Aff's #3 - that stricter laws would help to reclaim/avoid lost taxes from corporate tax avoidance. Further, it was persuasive that spending cuts could ~~cause~~ cause a ↓ in spending which would harm education and the military.

Opposition - I liked your idea that spending cuts would over time generate more money. Did you have any info to support that?

(To Be 14" in Length)

-over-

Opposition - Your best points, I thought, were that tax cuts would get money back into the economy and that our economy is a big family. However, more compelling sources/facts would have given your points weight. Just a note - all the paper shuffling at the beginning was a bit distracting.

Thank you - both teams - for being professional. It was a close round. The aff was more persuasive regarding how the money would be generated; and what loss of services due to tax cuts might look like.

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round ~~#~~ 2 Room 111 Time _____ Judge Jimmy Nguyen

TEAMS: Ridgefield QH & Sunset SS

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Ridgefield QH '06 Opposition Code Sunset ~~SS~~ CC 47

First Prop. dude with a badge Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Ayush Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. dude with a glasses Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Gavin Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Ridgefield QH (Team Code) representing Aff (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win ☐

Judge's Signature Jimmy Nguyen Judge's School Westview

Topic: _____

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min
Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Summary: 2 min
Opp Summary: 2 min
Grand Crossfire: 3 min

Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp Final Focus: 2 min
PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Neg talks about reducing spending, but doesn't necessarily have the evidences to support their arguments. Aff had effective arguments, logic, and many evidences that they present. Neg talks very conceptually without any evidences. ~~GOO billions~~

(To Be 14" in Length)

Nguyen, Jimmy

Sunset LC vs Sunset SS
Room: 111 Flight A: Start 10 am
Novice Public Forum -- Rd 2

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 2 Room 111 Time _____ Judge Jimmy N.

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Sunset LC Opposition Code Sunset SS

First Prop. Sengupta	First Opp. Dominique
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Lorie	Second Opp. Elise
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 27 26 25 (24) 23 22 21 20	30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset SS (Team Code) representing Neg (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Jimmy Nguyen Judge's School Westview

Topic: _____

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Neg had a lot of supported evidences and was able to thoroughly maintain the logic of their argument while Aff had failed to have evidences and refute properly.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Steiner Don

Sunset PL vs Glencoe HC
Room: 503 Pilgrim Air Team: Team
Open PF – Rd 2

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 2 Room 503 Time 10:00 Judge Steiner

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Glencoe HC Opposition Code Sunset PL

First Prop. Hampus H.	First Opp. Gautam P.
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Lorena C.	Second Opp. Jared L.
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

* If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Prop. (Team Code) representing Glencoe HC (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Don Steiner Judge's School Wilson

Topic Tax ↑ vs Spending ↓

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE THOROUGH COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed.

This debate is subtle and nuanced in its comparative analysis. Both teams offer well supported arguments. The relative merit of tax increases vs. spending cuts as a priority rather than "either or" is well explored. One point -> both teams need to look at how Social Security funding works. Three issue areas will decide - 1) Theory about taxing rich vs. progressive taxes 2) relationship between demand, government spending and tax rates 3) relevance of the social contract to current government obligations.

Really good use of more-ex & integration with arguments.

(To Be 14" in Length)