Amdahl-Mason

Sam Barlow VF vs Glencoe IP
Room: 502 Flight A: Start 12:30
Open CX -- Rd 3

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style C

Round 3 Room 502 Time _____ Judge _____

Affirmative Code Sam Barlow VF Negative Code Glencoe IP

First Aff. George	First Neg. Karissa
Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Jake	**Second Neg. Haley**
Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

~~82~~ ~~107~~ 44 151

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Barlow VF (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Clackamas

Topic: transportation infrastructure

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

George | I like your case - it's more interesting than some on this topic. Clear analysis across the flow in the ~~1AR~~.

Jake | Clear analysis on federalism and the perm.
2:27 2AR

Karissa | 6:37 in your 1NC is short, especially since you don't go on case at all. Don't admit that CP & plan can both be done, you leave yourself open to perming. The 1NR is early to move into voting issues - you should still be addressing issues directly on the flow. 1:53 is too short for a 1NR.

Haley | 1:16 in your first CX of the aff is too short - use your time to ask questions. Roadmap where on the flow you are. 2:44 in the 2NC is too short - make sure to cover the entire flow, including a line by line refutation if appropriate.
1:22 2NR

RFD | I think the negative misunderstands the affirmative's perm of the CP. Since the aff's perm goes unrefuted and the aff's analysis of sockeye salmon as a keystone species also goes unrefuted, I am left with an aff world with all advantages of the CP plus prevention of extinction due to loss of keystone species

Aff
4:10 4:10 2:32

Neg
4:20 3:50 2:50

A Nilles Cameron

Westview MZ vs South DS
Room: 409 Flight A: Start 12:30
Open CX -- Rd 3

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style C

Round 3 Room 409 Time 12:45 Judge Cameron Nilles

Affirmative Code Westview MZ Negative Code South DS

First Aff. Anthony Z	First Neg. Jessica D.
Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Abhijot M.	**Second Neg.** Carson S.
Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview MZ (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Cameron Nilles Judge's School Barlow

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Everyone: Z
1AC - very Your tag lines + delineate between cards.
1NC strategy needs to be rethought/worked on.
Everyone learn to give road maps.
2nd: new arguments are typically not beneficial.

Neg: you need a more comprehensive strategy, 1 off "Do Nothing" CP is not effective. You need a competitive policy option to offer vs. the affirmative. I don't believe that "warming good" is a good argument which means I have to weigh your world which lacks a functioning federal government or one where we attempt to solve for real pressing issues

RFD: Aff wins risk of Advantage impacts while neg provides no viable counter argument/ideas.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Aff should hammer the neg on this counterplan.

Johnson Patric

South RK vs Sam Barlow TG
Room: 410 Flight A: Start 12:30
Open CX -- Rd 3

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

Affirmative Code South E Rk Negative Code Sam Barlow TG

First Aff. Kate Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	First Neg. Sydney Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Rana Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	Second Neg. Maggie Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Neg. (Team Code) representing Sam Barlow TG (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☑ tie

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Westview

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1A - would ~~req~~ appreciate tagline your impacts
1N - include ~~taglines~~ on DA's - spell out that it's a DA

Everyone - Tagline + flow the clash, please!

A - defends against T, generically as "substantial" and w/ data 50+ billion in your card

Perm on CP - yucky, how do you perm by saying State + Fed. when Neg. position Fed. only?

RFD: Diesel is a ~~problem~~ for Aff - evidence never addresses directly if U.S. would use green tech. - you implied, through evidence, but can't claim Obama budget #'s in your T defense but distance yourself from it by claiming we'll follow China's lead on green tech.

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Wyle~~ **Justin**

Westview LL vs Sam Barlow GM
Room: 503 Flight A: Start 12:30
Open CX -- Rd 3

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Style (

Round 3 Room 503 Time 12:30 Judge Justin Wiley

Affirmative Code ~~Westview~~ LL Negative Code Sam Barlow GM

First Aff. ~~Westview LL~~ Emily	First Neg. ~~Sam Barlow GM~~ Keanan
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Jordan	Second Neg. Cameron
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sam Barlow GM (Team Code) representing NEG (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Gresham

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

AFF - Please do the math ~~for me~~ and show me that you meet your definition of substantial (30% increase). You very well might but after Neg said you don't, you need to do more than say "yeah we do".
- give me total cost of your plan; (?)
- give me the total amount the U.S. spends on public transportation; (?)
- show me that you meet the 30% increase criteria you set for yourself.

* you kinda did this in your rebuttal but you didn't ~~[illegible]~~, I need details and evidence when the round comes down to this!

NEG - slow down/enunciate! BREATH... Most of the Analysis in the 1NC about the lack of terminalized impacts in gov advantages was long winded and trivial - who cares? terminalizing impacts to Nuke war and dead babies/puppies is strictly a policy thing and I told you in paradigms that I'm not a "policy" Judge... ArmyCorpsEDA was abusive!!!

RFD: Besides THAT - I bought the Federalism argument, CPs Solve better than plan.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Endsley, Ryan

Clackamas PR vs South ZB
Room: 408 Flight A: Start 12:30
Open CX -- Rd 3

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Oregon Styl

Round 3 Room 408 Time 12:50 Judge ____

Affirmative Code ____ Negative Code ____

First Aff. Allen Circle Rating: 30 29 28 **(27)** 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	First Neg. Athena Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 **(26)** 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Alexander Circle Rating: 30 **(29)** 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Second Neg. Daisy Circle Rating: 30 29 28 **(27)** 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Clackamas PR (Team Code) representing aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Ryan Eg Judge's School Barlow

Topic: transit

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Aff 2:30

- Try and get your case cut to size.

Don't knock. Not sure why it bugs me but it does.

Try to keep your derisive snickering about their cards quieter. I think its hilarious, some judges won't.

Spend too much time on this authors nonsense

8 remaining.

Neg. 2:45 used ← updated to 1:40 total wasted

You threw away a free minute of prep in CX, then used half your prep before INC.

Not totally sure why you chose one of 2 arguments I listed as unlikey to be winners with me. (x-tra T)... If it's good I'll vote, but it never is. After hearing it? Nope, not good.

This just went from x-tra T to vagueness.

Split the block

(To Be 14" in Length)