McLain Susan

Sam Barlow TG vs South ZB
Room: 502 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open CX -- Rd 4

Nice job! Excellent Debate!

Tires State Championships: Oregon Style Cross-Examination Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 302 Time 3 pm Judge McLain

Affirmative Code Prioritize Arguments Negative Code South ZB

First Aff. Sydney Turner SAM BARLOW T.G. — Tighten up Case!	First Neg. Athena Zhao — Work on Unique Links!
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 (24) 23 22 21 20
Superior Be Persuasive :D Needs Improvement*	Superior Be Persuasive! Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Maggie Gebhardt — Stand up for CX	Second Neg. Daisy Burge
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 25 (24) 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20
Superior Case Evidence Needs Improvement*	Superior [redacted] "Not effective" Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise you may not rate below a 20.

Woman in Oregon have the vote for 100 years

Ice Age 10,000 years Quote says it will happen no matter what we do

(10-30 years) Ice Age! Must accept Global warming! No loss of land + minor + major

worked great :D → Neg. new counter-it

The debate was won by Aff. (Team Code) representing Sam Barlow TG (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point Win.

You also said Sun will go Silent in 30 years!

Judge's Signature Susan ZBD McLain Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: High Speed Rail

Thank you!

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1) Plan – F.G. invest in High Speed Rail

2) Better Service
Passenger ↑
Add Service ↑

3) Advantage(s)
A Help Economy ↑
B Help Manufacturing
C M.S. more Competitive
D Less auto + plane trips
E Cleaner Air
F Global Warming will be slowed down
G Extinction – Less
H. Impact – Less C+P's

Comparative Advantage

Aff. win's Voting Issues

#1. Warming
Huge Impacts

#2. Bio-Diversity + Environment
Impacts

#3. Economy ↑↓
(Good/War "not all like this" Rush)

#4 1.3 Million Jobs good impact

#1. De-Val
A. Economy ↑ cause War
B. Growth – cause many conflicts
C. Environment ↓ ↑
1. Global Warming good
2. Slow Change good
3. Ice Age coming
4. 30 years / Sun quiet

#2. "K" Tension
1. Women / Men different
2. Women no M.T. more
3. M.T. hurt women? Why?
"Equal Rights"
Link to High Speed Rail!
Neg. work on Link!
Not unique Link!

Neg. Would Do Aff. Advantages, the 6 Aff. is Doing

Aff. would look better for Debate

1) Women
2) Environment
3) Global Warming slowed down
4) Less auto + plane Trips
5) More Civilian Jobs

(To Be 14 min Length)

Mills, Greg

Sam Barlow GM vs Westview MZ
Room: 409 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open CX -- Rd 4

Tires State Championships: Oregon Style Cross-Examination Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

Affirmative Code Sam Barlow GM Negative Code _____

First Aff. Becca Goodman	First Neg. Abigail Schlesinger
Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Diego Marquez	Second Neg. Bethany Berry
Circle Rating: (30) 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20

The debate was won by SB GM (Team Code) representing Affirmative (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win [ ]

Judge's Signature S Mills Judge's School U of O

4:00 Topic: _____ 4:30 3:10 2:40 2:10

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1A - Need newer econ cards. Save hege for 2AC in econ advantage. Impact on aviation doesn't make sense. Otherwise good 1A, you spoke clearly and the aff is well put together.

1N - good speech. Would appreciate a little more diversity of argument though. All spending DA's? NoT? CP?

2AC - Best speech I've heard all day. Very efficient and valuable use of your time.

2NC - all on case is not a good way to spend your 8 minutes. It shows me your partner is hogging all the good stuff.

2A - Don't get too cocky in cross examination. Old lady judges won't appreciate it.

1NR - You should never ever use prep for this speech! You can read new cards in the 1NR, as long as it's not a new DA or CP or any new 'off case'. ~~You~~

1AR - Need to move faster! Don't worry about explaining things in depth for this speech. Just say where you are on the flows what the neg said, and then extend all the 2AC evidence, as fast as you can.

RFD - Neg ~~d~~ answer non-uniqueness ~~ex~~ and turns on Disads. Left with Aff case.

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Raible Jim~~ Cameron Nilles

Neg 4/7 3/5a 2:40

Aff 4:7a

Glencoe IP vs South RK
Room: 410 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open CX -- Rd 4

ires State Championships: Oregon Style Cross-Examination Debate Ballot

Time _____ Judge Cameron Nilles

Affirmative Code Glencoe IP

Negative Code South RK

First Aff. Haley I.	First Neg. Kate R.
Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Karissa P.	Second Neg. Raina K.
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*	Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by South RK (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or (Neg)). Check if Low Point win. [ ]

Judge's Signature Cameron Nilles Judge's School Barlow

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Where are the road maps?

Aff you have an untraditional approach to policy. Your case is organized in a way that some policy judges will find confusing.

Neg: Your neg strat. is not diverse enough, I mentioned I have a high threshold for K and then you run one. It was decently explained but did you ever officially read something that was an alternative. Run Topicality! Their case seems vague, extra topical potentially even FX topical maybe. Run DA's.

FFD: the negative team wins on a essentially conceded kritik argument.

(To Be 14" in Length)

1 Miller, Jason

Sam Barlow VF vs Clackamas PR
Room: 402 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open CX -- Rd 4

Tires State Championships: Oregon Style Cross-Examination Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

Affirmative Code _____ Negative Code _____

First Aff. George Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Neg. Alan Circle Rating: 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Aff. Jake Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Neg. Alexander Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Clackamas PR (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: _____

Constructives: 8 min; Cross-Examinations: 3 min; Rebuttals: 5 min; Prep Time: 5 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1A - Wait, WTH is your link on great power wars? Is this just precluding neg claims or do you simply have no narrative flow in your case? You'd be better off proactively persuading me that YOU ARE IN THE RIGHT ROOM than that I won't die in atomic fallout.

1N - Really, I still don't care about "fairness" cards; there is no logic there. These are logical reasons (T) matters — use those.
Missed Cx question: When were those dams built?
↳ Age shows lack of brink on genocide, and biodiversity is existing around the dams in status quo - pulling them case turns.
Missed (T): A river is geography, not infrastructure. (caught later)
Missed (T): Removing dams is Divestment, not Investment. (caught later)
Missed (T): Native claim on the river, acknowledged by the Aff, shows that Aff doesn't consider it to be in the US,
→ All of this shows that even if I want to say that Sam Barlow VF "won" the debate, I can't write that they were "representing Aff" → That is the logical reason (T) matters.

2A: SD states of airport upgrades would meet that need but I don't care.
That said, "ramp case" means Jack-all to me. I did not go to that camp and suspect that anybody who did paid too much.
If your ev against nukewar says econ interconnection prevents, and their card says econ isolationism caused nuke war, then you agree.

2N: The TS on policy jurisdiction was good — move that up to First T justification... and dump the others.
That case did specify a congressional action even if their plan text was garbage. I reject the A-Spec.
I had hoped the Perm-Poison (T) would show up. That amuses me. (+1 speaker point.)
→ Slow down on the new Ds, though — I'm barely able to keep up.

1NR: You got about the Komodo Dragon's plight, but I used it as an example on my last CX ballot.

2AR: "Somewhat important is someone's eyes" is NOT the same thing as "substantial" (-1 speaker point)

2AR: Divestment spending is not capital investment; you've missed that point and lost that (T)

RFJ: (T) — Aff doesn't have substantial transportation (boaters in Idaho" does not meet)
substantial infrastructure (river does not meet)
or substantial investment (spending does not meet)

(To Be 14" in Length)