~~Testerman Adam~~ Amy Meabe

Westview Bea vs Cleveland G
Room: 206 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open LD -- Rd 4

b Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge Meabe + Wilson

Aff. Team Code Katherine Beare	Neg. Team Code Griffin Gonzales
Name _____	Name _____
Circle Rating:	Circle Rating:
30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by NEG (Team Code) representing Cleveland (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Amy Meabe Judge's School Wilson

Topic: universal health care

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed

AFF - enunciate!

Both speakers did a great job w/ asking and responding to questions. To avoid talking on top of opponents response — just cut him/her off by saying "Thank you" as soon as they've responded to the extent you want. Aff: watch your grammar (it's "fewer" "sick people, not "less" and "if you subtract that" not "if you min us that"). Aff dropped your point that neg didn't address short term social tension, but you were very unruffled by his questions - nice. Your enunciation/articulation was sacrificed in favor of speed, but there was great clash on the issues of solvency + comparative advantages. Both teams targeted major issues and did not trivialize the topic which I very strongly appreciated :) I'm not convinced that lower compensation for doctors would significantly reduce # of drs, but you could have included medical school debt to justify the need for high pay. Neg's most compelling argument was the hybrid French model + Obamacare as a remedy + you marshalled good + simple evidence in support of your claims. Aff overstated things when she said "Only the 1% have quality care" and the veracity of this falls farther when in light of Obamacare. It's a tough resolution to debate right now before the dust has settled in this transition to Obamacare + both of you had great clash on the economic impact but neg was more analytic and persuasive + gets style points for "next step = off the cliff" (but no style points for suspenders :). Neg wins by establishing sufficiency of Obamacare.

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Testerman~~ Adam

Westview Chou vs Glencoe J
Room: 206 Flight B: Start
Open LD -- Rd 4

b Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

Aff. Team Code _____	Neg. Team Code _____
Name Chou	Name Dante
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 26.

The debate was won by ~~be~~ Chou (Team Code) representing Westview (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. [ ]

Judge's Signature Amy Meals Judge's School Wilson HS

Topic: universal health care

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed

Good debate, both of you + nice use of questions! Aff said people aren't currently being denied health care which was a huge mistake because it kills your plan (+ it is not true). But, neg didn't build on that + dropped that ball (1). Neg built his case on health care not being able to be made cheaper, more efficient + aff neglected to prove that it can (prevention, immunization, etc.) so both sides dropped major balls (2). However, there was good clash on research + govt funding and its impact on costs but aff showed that uninsured cost us as a country + neg dropped that argument. Aff should have seized the issue of all benefitting by poor/uninsured getting health care because of increased economic output and decrease in disease + other health related costs. Victory goes to aff because quality of life and equality of increasing health care access → safety, security + economic security all being increased = utilitarianism.

Both can polish delivery style through more inflection + hammer your points more clearly + establish ~~at~~ how you're defining status quo — is it with or without Obamacare?

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Miller Thurma~~ Watkins, John

Westview Som vs Westview Bao
Room: 204 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open LD -- Rd 4

vab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 204 Time 2:30 Judge John Watkins

Aff. Team Code Rama S	Neg. Team Code James B.
Name Westview Som	Name Westview Bao
Circle Rating: 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview Som (Team Code) representing (Aff) (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature John Watkins Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: Healthcare

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed.

- You don't have to explain where the money comes from in specifics.

- Why can't people who want to spend more on better healthcare do so? A lot of countries allow that.

RFD: Neg would both agree and refute the Affirmative case. He also focused on specific arguments ~~to~~ to the detriment of overall debate.

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Miller Thurma~~ Watkins, John

Westview Guo vs Westview Gop
Room: 204 Flight B. Start
Open LD -- Rd 4

vab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 204 Time 3:45 Judge John Watkins

Aff. Team Code	Westview Guo	Neg. Team Code	Westview Gop
Name	Kevin G	Name	Varun G
Circle Rating:	30 29 ~~28~~ 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)	Circle Rating:	30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 (Superior … Needs Improvement*)

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview Guo (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature John Watkins Judge's School Glenbrook

Topic: Healthcare

Aff: 6 min, C-X: 3 min, Neg: 7 min, C-X: 3 min, Aff Rebuttal: 4 min, Neg Rebuttal: 6 min, Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN

- Quantitative measurements would be life expectancy, healthcare costs, lost man hours, increase in prices. These are all measurable.

- You only need to offer definitions on the Neg if you feel like you have superior counter definitions to the Aff.
- Your public/private school argument is really more of an Aff argument.

I wrote RFDs before your final speech.

RFD: Neg seemed to be arguing against specific healthcare models, but different ones so Aff's arguments seemed a little contradictory. Aff just did a better job of being focused and concise.

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Van Baalen Jac~~ Charley

Lincoln Y vs Sam Barlow B
Room: 104 Flight B: Start
Novice LD -- Rd 4

wab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

Aff. Team Code Lincoln Y	Neg. Team Code Barlow B
Name _____	Name _____
Circle Rating:	Circle Rating:
30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Lincoln Y (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Reed Putnam

Topic: US Guarantee universal HC

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed.

Aff - Very clear structure to case and rebuttals. You tied all contentions back to V&C. I wish you would have stressed this a bit more in 2nd rebuttal. Excellent job on Cx attacking USPS contention. Try to avoid saying you so much. Refer to her as the Neg or my opponent.

Neg - You were speaking a bit too quickly and anxiously. Some words were lost. You did a nice job of explaining your case and addressing Aff points. Don't tell Aff they are doing a really good job in Cx during the round. That is something you can say after the round. You needed to explain the penny analogy more for it to have an impact. You needed to be able to expand on USPS point more to overcome Cx.

Aff attacked Neg case well in Cx and continued in rebuttals. Aff attack was stronger than Neg attack. Aff wins.

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Radon-Kimball~~ Garcia, Gus

Sam Barlow L vs Rex Putnam N
Room: 102 Flight A: Start 2:30
Novice LD -- Rd 4

› Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 102 Time _____ Judge Gus Garcia

Aff. Team Code ~~Emma Nguyen~~ Sam Barlow Brad L.	Neg. Team Code ~~Emma Nguyen~~ Rex Putnam Emma N.
Name Brad L.	Name Emma N.
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Rex Putnam N (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Cleveland H.S.

Topic: Gov't ensured Universal healthcare

~~Aff: 6 min~~; ~~C-X: 3 min~~; ~~Neg: 7 min~~; ~~C-X: 3 min~~; ~~Aff Rebuttal: 4 min~~; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min~~; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed.

Aff

- Some drops during refutations.
- If you had been able to convince me why the USFG was the best/most qualified actor, I may have voted Aff.

Neg

*In addition to stating that the 10th Amendment exists, it may be beneficial to give additional reasons as to how the States/people are a more qualified actor than the USFG.

* Make sure to [illegible] there is still [illegible] and [illegible]

RFD: The negation won this round because ~~she established~~ her contention on the 10th Amendment was not effectively refuted. The burden of proof against this ~~amendment~~ then shifted to Aff, who did not refute effectively

(To be 14" in Length)

Radon-Kimball

G-sGarcia

Clackamas D vs Sunset Pu
Room: 102 Flight B: Start
Novice LD – Rd 4

ab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 102 Time _____ Judge G-sGarcia

Aff. Team Code Clackamas D	Neg. Team Code Sunset Pu
Name Jake D.	Name Ryan
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Clackamas D (Team Code) representing Aff (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Cleveland H.S.

Topic: Universal healthcare

~~Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min~~

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed.

Aff

* Your third contention is by far the weakest. It was broad and not elaborated on effectively
* Your idea on the flaws of capitalism is effective.
* Your voter speech was somewhat chaotic/unorganized.
  - Try to call back to all important impacts.

Neg

* Your case would be significantly stronger if your case discussed VHC, instead of only providing argumentation based on technicalities (e.g. not an obligation)
* Your case does not have many significant impacts.
* Your case is small and does not negate the resolution effectively
* You dropped aff's position on desirability

RFD: ~~Neg~~ Aff Neg's assertion that obligation for the USFG (To Be 14" in Length) and the Bill of Rights did not hold a is derived ~~from~~ only from the Constitution

Sunset SS v. Ridgefield QH

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 109 Time _____ Judge ~~McLain~~ Heidi Rangel

Aff. Team Code Ridgefield QH	Neg. Team Code Sunset SS
Name Christian (1) / Isac (2)	Name Tara (2) / Laura (1)
Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 26 **25** **24** 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating: 30 29 28 27 **26** **25** 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

1:00 (Aff) 1:00 (Neg)

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset SS (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature [signature] Judge's School Sam Barlow

Topic: Tax ↑ > Spending Cut.

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

2nd Ballots are not allowed

1A - Signpost each contention in reading your first affirmative
- where's your link between the perpetual flourishing of the programs named and tax increases

2A - The defense of military and ~~DoD~~ DoD spending is preemptive and bears little relevance to the direction of the debate. You've cherry picked a facet of Spending you assume will take cuts (did the same with Education) that are foundationless, unprompted, by anything on the flow, however it serves to your advantage somewhat...

1A - At one point you made a statement that "tax increases lead to increased savings leading to increased GDP"... with zero linear logic or substantiation

2N - Don't let Aff put words in your mouth. They attempt to paint this world you allegedly support in your advocacy of the resolution. that makes it seem like you would implicitly cut education, social security or medicare, nowhere in your advocacies are those specifications made

1N - Making an argument that "we need both cuts and tax hikes," does nothing for you on the flow

→ They pull the negation into this debate, to bombard you with preachings of U.S Security and how you undermine it. There are simple rebuttals to this, and ample evidence to support frivolous defense Spending

2N - Solid work moving I contention across the flow (historical empericism in the wake of generic arguments take you a long way)

Avoid making generic claims for the general purposes of squirreling your way to a link or impact. Please.

(To Be 14" in Length)

Van Baalen Jac

Rex Putnam S vs Sunset Pa
Room: 104 Flight A: Start 2:30
Novice LD -- Rd 4

ab Tires State Championships: Lincoln-Douglas Value Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge Ryan McCarrel

Aff. Team Code	Rex Putnam S
Name	A Baalen Spisla

Circle Rating:

30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20

Superior — Needs Improvement*

Neg. Team Code	Sunset Pa
Name	Gayatri Paranjape

Circle Rating:

30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20

Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset Pa (Team Code) representing Neg (Aff or Neg). Check if Low Point win. ☐

-5 Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School N/A

Topic: Resolved: The federal government should institute a system of universal healthcare in the United States.

Aff: 6 min; C-X: 3 min; Neg: 7 min; C-X: 3 min; Aff Rebuttal: 4 min; Neg Rebuttal: 6 min; Aff Rebuttal: 3 min; Prep Time: 3 min

WRITE THOROUGH COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed

AFF

[X] Pain Line
[C] Federal Gov't Forcing Healthy your

Ask Questions in CX Not Rebuttal - for example; "Why wouldn't people want Univ Hcare?" and your "Auto-insurance" Question. They're excellent Q's but ineffective in rebuttal.

Aff use All CX time

Use all rebuttal time

Neg

[V] Liberty
[C] Objectivism

Aff provided several good reasons to provide Universal healthcare for U.S. citizens, but did not adequately defend her framework (i.e. Value Criterion). Neg persuasively argued that liberty weighed with the [C] Objectivism was more valuable to U.S. Citizens than Universal Healthcare.

Aff loses mostly because of the Negs attack on [C] Federal Gov't by asking, "Where does the obligation come from?"

(To Be 14" in Length)

Updated: 9/17/2012

G:\Speech\Ballots\2012-13\LDV Ballot.doc