~~Willes Cameron~~ Ryden Meyer
Westview KB vs Glencoe LH
Room: 504 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open PF -- Rd 4

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 504 Time 3:04 Judge Ryden Meyer

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Westview KB Opposition Code Glencoe LH

First Prop. Nimisha Kapur Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Tasha Lane Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Sai Bandi Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Olivia Haring Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by ~~W~~ GlencoeLH (Team Code) representing NEG (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Barlow

Topic: Taxes over budget cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Overall the Neg had more round coverage. The AFF did not spend enough time refutting the Negs case.

Good job to ALL!

(To Be 14" in Length)

Owen Jenny

Ridgefield H.S. TP vs Glencoe PM
Room 406 Flight A Start 2:30
Open PF – Rd 4

ıwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 406 Time Judge Jenny Owen - LincoLN

TEAMS:

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides

Proposition Code Ridgefield HS **Opposition Code** Glencoe PM

Proposition	Opposition
First Prop. Zach	First Opp. Levi
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior … Needs Improvement*	Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Robert	Second Opp. Jacob
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior … Needs Improvement*	Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 25.

The debate was won by Ridgefield HS (Team Code) representing Prop (Prop or Opp)

Judge's Signature Judge's School LINCOLN

Topic: The US should prioritize tax increases over spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker 4 min	Prop Summary 2 min	Prop Final Focus 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker 4 min	Opp Summary 2 min	Opp Final Focus 2 min
Crossfire 3 min	Crossfire 3 min	Grand Crossfire 4 min	GRAND TOTAL 25 (Open Team)

WRITE THOROUGH COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are not allowed.

ZACH I liked your opening quote to bring together the opinion of 320 Lead Economists. Def'n = appropriately short & clever to just ↑ tax primarily on wealthy Negs never responded but your offense probably helped. Your case was well-warranted with good evidence. Neg tried to refute some, but couldn't reply to all including Leachman card & Fullerton

ROBERT Good eye contact & no extended blinking like I saw last weekend. Your speaking style is very solid, but to be even better work on varying speed, volume and intonation to break up your very serious approach. Your discussion about Solvency (To be 14" in length) was good about Negs inability to make a dent beyond 1% of deficit to suggest that greater cuts that would be needed could result in Double-dip recession.

LEVI I liked your enthusiasm. Your stuffed nose interfered with your clarity and slowed you down a bit. Some of your defns were not specifically helpful & sucked up time better used to provide evid in your case. Although "priority" defn of putting 1st in docket was good. watch rocking back & forth

JACOB I appreciated your attempt to call Prop. for its arbitrarism of your end while not permitting the reverse, however I feel Robert's suggestion of US being in a post war time after Afghanistan & Iraq wasn't attacked by you in your final focus. I liked your final reference to Olympia Snowe's reason for not returning to the Senate, but it sucked up time I wish you would have used to provide a weighing mechanism for evaluation.

RFD Both sides advocated effectively for their position & presented evidence in support thereof. Both sides had good oratory. Both sides remained fully engaged for the entire debate. Thank you! So, who wins?

The Prop. was the winner of this debate for the following reasons:

① Stronger use of its evidence (Analysis by Robert garnered this win) - Aff - Krugman evid. in 1950's vs. IMF

1) Based on consumption not benefits
2) If We are in recession or Not

② Solvency - Although Opp. merely offered up some potential cuts (not a specific actual plan), they failed to show how those cuts would result in greater govt efficacy &/or legitimacy.

③ Weighing Mechanism - Prop. offered one → Econ is key and showed how Prop. is best able to be successful. Opp. didn't offer a counter weighing mechanism nor did Opp. address how it could measure up under Prop.'s w. mechanism. Jacob & Levi repeatedly claimed how debt is/was insurmountable and that ended their participation in that portion of the debate. Unfortunately for Opp, Prop. offered up lots of reasons to care about the econ & the adverse impact of spending cuts (i.e. NYT's evid about layoffs, comparisons to instability in Spain & Greece & more). Opp dropped it.... unwisely ☹

JACOB HAD THE BEST HUMOR & GRAMMAR IN THE ROUND BY CALLING IN TO QUESTION The World ING ON Second Prop. Contention. "Spending cuts are fallacious"???

~~Praeger Goller~~ K. Bisbee

Ione DH vs Westview CP
Room: 505 Flight B: Start
Open PF -- Rd 4

hwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Ione DH Opposition Code Westview CP

First Prop. Joe Dougherty Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Rushil Patel Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Daniel Holtz Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Cheng Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Westview CP (Team Code) representing: CP (Prop or Opp) Check if Low Point win: ☐

Judge's Signature K. Bisbee Judge's School Ridgefield

Topic: _____

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Joe - You MUST have questions, or you hand your opponent a huge opening.

Daniel - "The Fiscal Cliff is a scare tactic." You really needa source for this assertation. 1:47 out of 4:00 used is too short.

Rushil - The case was well-presented. Your first two contentions stood at the end.

Cheng - Have some extension arguments ready for your own points.

RFD - The expert ~~testimony~~ cited by neg was completely untouched by aff. Aff's ~~statements~~ that ~~the~~ fiscal cliff does not exist and we need to raise taxes to wage war were ~~hard to~~ reconcile with the ~~topic~~

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Praeger Goller~~ K Bisbee

Glencoe HC vs Sunset KL
Room: 505 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open PF -- Rd 4

chwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Glencoe HC Opposition Code Sunset KL

First Prop. Hampus Hammarlund	First Opp. Maneet ~~Bhullar~~ Khaira
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Lorena Colcer	Second Opp. Timothy Lu
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior — Needs Improvement*	Superior — Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Glencoe HC (Team Code) representing: Prop (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature K. Bisbee Judge's School Ridgefield

Topic: _____

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed. MK

HH
"Me and my Partner" - the first words out of your mouth should be grammatically correct.

LC
Make sure to address your case. The extension arguments were top notch.

MK
watch the pronunciation of "eligible". It came out ə|lij|ə|bəl.

TL
"There is no cause and effect but there is a correlation" - This response to Clinton-era tax increase + resultant surplus doesn't help your case.

RFD I found aff's case more compelling. The Social Contract can fit in PuFo when it is being used to show government's responsibilites. I found neg's second contention somewhat confusing and impossible to refute.

Pavlik ~~John~~ Charlene Glencoe

Westview CO vs Ione BP
Room: 506 Flight B: Start
Open PF -- Rd 4

:hwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code CO Opposition Code BP

First Prop. Sofia Chen Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Tanner Bass Ione Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Stephen Oh Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. T.J. Patton Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 26 (25) 24 23 22 21 20 Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by CO (Team Code) representing prop (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Charl P-L Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: Prioritize spending cuts vs. tax increases

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

Ione - please be prepared next time. It seemed you hadn't reviewed your paperwork before trying to speak.
I voted in favor of the proposition because they were able to refute the oppositions contentions while also being persuasive with their own.
Sofia - you have a nice speaking manner, but are a little soft-spoken. a little more volume/enunciation would help others pay more attention to you.
Tanner - You seemed tired - not completely engaged in the debate - in the beginning.
Stephen - nice job - you have confidence, but don't come across as arrogant.

(To Be 14" in Length)

~~Partner~~ T.J. - Nice job, but I felt you relied too much on your partner. If you had known the subject better you could →

have made better use of your ~~###~~ allotted time.

Thank you all for participating today!

~~Pavlik John~~ Pavlik, Charlene
Glencoe

Cleveland CS vs Westview AA
Room: 506 Flight A: Start 2:30
Open PF -- Rd 4

ıwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round _____ Room _____ Time _____ Judge _____

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code AA Opposition Code CS

First Prop. Vineet Apte Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	First Opp. Isobel Coen Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Shyan Akmal Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*	Second Opp. Hannah Shiling Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20

The debate was won by CS (Team Code) representing Opp (Prop or Opp) Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Chad Pavlik Judge's School Glencoe

Topic: Prioritize ~~Tax~~ Spending Cuts vs. tax increase

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min | Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min | Prop Summary: 2 min | Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min | Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min | Opp Summary: 2 min | Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min | Crossfire: 3 min | Grand Crossfire: 3 min | PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

I voted for the opposition because although both sides were very well spoken and had good points and cited sources, the opposition persuaded me that the first order of business should be to cut spending.

Vineet - you are a good speaker but you talked so fast I had trouble understanding some of your words.

Shyan - during the Grand Crossfire, I felt you were badgering the other team regarding investments. It was uncomfortable and didn't "get" you anything.

Isobel and Hannah - Nice speaking rate + volume.

Thank you all for your professional attitude + courtesy!

(To Be 14" in Length)

Sunset CC v. Ridgefield AT

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 107 Time _____ Judge ~~Bradshaw, Cori~~ Susan Oh

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Affirmation / Sunset Opposition Code Negation / Ridgefield AT

First Prop. Aynsh Choudhury	First Opp. ~~Cole~~ Jackson Taylor
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Gavin Cai	Second Opp. Jacob Anderson
Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*	Circle Rating (Ties allowed): 30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 — Superior … Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Ridgefield AT (Team Code) representing negation (~~Prop~~ or Oppt. Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School Westview

Topic: U.S. should prioritize tax increases vs spending cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1st Affirmation.

1. raising taxes.
2. funding of government programs. Medicare.

Good specific examples.

3. spending cuts not beneficial

Speaks clearly, good stance.

Negation.

1st

tax increases hurts our society,

1. undermining capitalism.
2. fiscal cliff
3. military

Speak slower + calmly to make your point

Good point =
How much is taken away from Medicare $, education? Prioritize

2nd speaker

Speaks clearly & shows good preparation with facts.

(To Be 14" in Length)

2nd speaker Affirmation

- tax increases would be on the rich
- U.S military as international "policemen" protect economic interest

2nd speaker Negation

1. Congress at deadlock because of no tax increases
   explains how taxing middle class takes away from ~~the~~ economy.
   - matching what we spend with what we take in - military.

1st speaker summary.

- investing money in Syria, N. Korea.
  How does this affect the economy?

1st speaker summary

- countries want to solve their own problems
- fiscal cliff
  - raise prices / spending less.

- Reform of wasteful programs

2nd speaker

1. Most Americans would support tax increase because they voted for democratic president

Use the final fours to summarize points already made, not make new points.

Good clear speaker and reasoning but points not as well supported as negation

Sunset LP v. Sunset LC

OSAA/U.S. Bank/Les Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 107 Time _____ Judge ~~Endsley, Eric~~ Susan Oh

TEAMS: ✓

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code SUNSET LP Opposition Code SUNSET LC

First Prop. Freddy Pan	First Opp. Elise Cutts
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Aden Ling	Second Opp. DOMINIQUE LUND
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 28 27 (26) 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset LC (Team Code) representing Opp (Prop or Opp) Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Susan Oh Judge's School Westview

Topic: U.S. should prioritize tax increases vs. spending cuts.

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min | Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min | Prop Summary: 2 min | Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min | Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min | Opp Summary: 2 min | Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min | Crossfire: 3 min | Grand Crossfire: 3 min | PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

1st

U.S. prioritize tax increase

1. we are spending more money, be able to pay off debt faster w/ tax increase.
2. cannot only decrease budget.
3. health care / Medicare spending cut affect elderly.

clear presentation

2nd

- cut money to military will have detrimental effects
- spending cuts, affect a large population health care, education, hurts economy.

1st

1. Restore economy · Estoria by spending cut + tax increase.
2. U.S. making wasteful spending
   a. military
   b. inefficient
3. citizens don't want tax increases Oregon school district

Very clear argument, confident

2nd Addresses:

- military spending cut ~ only frivolous
- Medicare
- Japan
- Education

Too many "ums"

(To Be 14" in Length)

2nd speaker

Good answering to opp arguments

1st speaker

- specifics on tax effects / increases
- loss of Medicare for seniors.

2nd speaker

- loopholes in avoiding paying taxes.

1st speaker

- Good analysis of the argument on pro & neg
- Proposition's contradictory statements:
  people avoiding taxes / increasing taxes would benefit

2nd speaker

Quotes 2 people to make argument, need more empirical evidence.

In the Final focus, try to summarize and conclude your arguments, not introduce new points

2nd speaker

You are on the opposition team - the other team is the proposition team prioritizing spending cuts vs. tax increases.

~~Nilles Cameron~~ Ryden Meyer

Westview LL vs Sunset KK
Room: 504 Flight B: Start
Open PF -- Rd 4

Schwab Tires State Championships: Public Forum Debate Ballot

Round 4 Room 504 Time 3:57 Judge Ryden Meyer

TEAMS: _____

Judges: Mark team codes as Proposition and Opposition on ballot after flip for sides.

Proposition Code Westview LL Opposition Code Sunset KK

First Prop. Candace	First Opp. Sameer Kapur
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 29 28 (27) 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 29 (28) 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*
Second Prop. Janet	Second Opp. Ishan Karnik
Circle Rating (Ties allowed):	Circle Rating (Ties allowed):
30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20	30 (29) 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20
Superior ... Needs Improvement*	Superior ... Needs Improvement*

*If one of the debaters was rude, please report it to the ballot table. Otherwise, you may not rate below a 20.

The debate was won by Sunset KIC (Team Code) representing NEG (Prop or Opp). Check if Low Point win. ☐

Judge's Signature Ryden Meyer Judge's School Barlow

Topic: Taxes over Budget cuts

Prop 1st Speaker: 4 min	Prop 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Prop Summary: 2 min	Prop Final Focus: 2 min
Opp 1st Speaker: 4 min	Opp 2nd Speaker: 4 min	Opp Summary: 2 min	Opp Final Focus: 2 min
Crossfire: 3 min	Crossfire: 3 min	Grand Crossfire: 3 min	PREP TIME: 2 min per team

WRITE *THOROUGH* COMMENTS TO EACH DEBATER. GIVE REASONS WHY YOU VOTED FOR ONE SIDE. PLEASE STATE WHAT THE LOSING DEBATER NEEDED TO DO TO WIN.

Oral critiques are *not* allowed.

I gave the round to neg because they proved we spend too much money frivilously and can save by prioritization. Long term > short term

Candace: Be confident!

Sameer: More analysis

Janet: Stay organized

Ishan: Agresive! get louder

(To Be 14" in Length)

Good round!